1	
2	
3	
4	NOT FOR CITATION
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	SAN JOSE DIVISION
8	John Westfall, NO. C 02-02472 JW
9	Plaintiff(s), v.  ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ANSWER SHOULD NOT BE STRICKEN AND
10	DEFAULT ENTERED FOR FAILURE TO State of California, et al., TENDER SETTLEMENT PAYMENT TO
11	PLAINTIFF  Defendant(s).
12	/
13	This action has been pending since May of 2002. In May of 2004, the parties reported that the
14	case had settled. The Court has yet to receive the customary stipulated dismissal, however. The latest
15	status report filed by Plaintiff's counsel on August 2, 2005, indicates that she is still awaiting a
16	settlement check.
17	Based upon the status report, the Court orders Defendants to show cause, in writing, why the
18	answer should not be stricken and default entered as a sanction for failure to tender the settlement
19	payment. Defendants shall file and serve the response to this Order no later than October 17, 2005.
20	Thereafter, the parties shall appear for a hearing on October 31, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. If the
21	parties file a stipulated dismissal prior to the hearing date, the hearing will be automatically vacated.
22	Dated: September 30, 2005 /s/James Ware  JAMES WARE
23	United States District Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## Case 5:02-cv-02472-JW Document 52 Filed 09/30/05 Page 2 of 2 THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: Fiel D. Tigno fiel.tigno@doj.ca.gov Mary-Margaret O'Connell mmoc@pacbell.net William J. McMahon william.mcmahon@doj.ca.gov Dated: September 30, 2005 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: /s/JW Chambers Ronald L. Davis **Courtroom Deputy**